Recent Case Law in German Patent Law



2023

© Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB

Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB is a partnership with limited professional liability registered in the Partnerships Register of Bremen Local Court, Registration no. PR 30.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB.

Nothing in this publication constitutes legal advice. Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB assumes no responsibility for information contained in this publication or on the website www.eisenfuhr.com and disclaims all liability with respect to such information.

CONTENTS

For	ewo	ord 3
l.	Pat	ent interpretation
	1.	Significance of the interpretation in the context of assessing the permissibility of amendments
		Federal Court of Justice judgment of 13 December 2022 in case no. X ZR 115/20 – "Gurtstraffer II"
II.	Pat	ent infringement and damages
	2.	Damages for enforcement
		Düsseldorf Higher Regional Court judgment of 12 October 2023 in case no. 2 U 124/22 – Glatirameracetat
	3.	(Contributory) negligence in failure to join actions9
		Düsseldorf Higher Regional Court judgment of 13 July 2023 in case no. 2 U 79/22 – Infusionsvorrichtung II
	4.	Remedy against a decision to surrender an expert opinion presented in inspection proceedings
		Federal Court of Justice, court order of 1 August 2023 in case no. X ZB 9/21 – Ästhetische Behandlung
	On	Validity
	5.	On the inadmissible extension by generalisations13
		Federal Court of Justice judgment of 26 September 2023 in case no. X ZR 76/21 – Farb- und Helligkeitseinstellung
	6.	Assessment of inventive step in the case of undisclosed advantages15
		Federal Court of Justice judgment of 13 June 2023 in case no. X ZR 51/21 – Schlossgehäuse
	7.	Entitlement to claim a priority and disclosure of combinations of features 18
		Federal Court of Justice judgment of 28 November 2023 in case no. X ZR 83/21 – Sorafenib-Tosylat
IV.	Further topics on formal and substantive law	
	8.	Exhaustion of patent rights by placing products on the market
		Federal Court of Justice judgment of 24 January 2023 in case no. X ZR 123/20 – CQI-Bericht II
	9.	Confidentiality order in patent infringement proceedings with an NDA in place 24
		Mannheim Regional Court, court order of 14 April 2023 in case no. 7 O 91/22 – Geheimnisschutzanordnung II
	10.	Effect of NDAs on judicial protection of trade secrets
		Karlsruhe Higher Regional Court, court order of 4 October 2023 in case no. 6 U 122/22 – Judicial protection of confidential information even when an NDA has been concluded
	11.	Patent assessor's power of representation for associated companies after group-internal transfer of IP rights
		Federal Patent Court judgment of 19 December 2022 in case no. 1 W (pat) 30/22 – Transfer in the patent register
	12.	Removal of the bar to a patent revocation action when parallel opposition proceedings are
		pending 31
		Federal Court of Justice judgment of 6 December 2022 in case no. X ZR 47/22 – Aminopyridin
	13.	On the scope and limits of the right of prior use
		Federal Court of Justice judgment of 20 June 2023 in case no. X ZR 61/21 – Faserstoffbahn
V.		cisions of the Unified Patent Court
	14.	Opt-out with lock-out consequences: the temporal scope of Art. 83(4) UPCA and Rule 5.8 RoP
		UPC (Local Division, Helsinki), decision of 20 October 2023 – UPC_CFI_214/2023
	15.	UPC – File Wrapper Estoppel?
		UPC (Local Division, Munich), decision of 20 December 2023 – UPC_CFI_292/2023

1	6. Imposition of penalty payments by divisions of the Unified Patent Court
	UPC (Local Division, Munich), decision of 19 September 2023 – UPC_CFI_2/2023
1	7. Can revocation proceedings before the UPC be stayed while opposition proceedings are pending?
	UPC (Central Division, Munich), decision of 20 November 2023 – UPC_CFI_80/2023
	UPC (Central Division, Paris), decision of 8 January 2024 – UPC_CFI_263/2023
VI. E	imployee invention law
1	8. Defence of limitation period for claims for information and accounting by an employee inventor
	Düsseldorf Higher Regional Court judgment of 17 May 2023 in case no. 15 U 78/22 – Sanitärarmatur
VII. P	Plant variety protection
1	9. Test criteria for plant variety approval54
	Federal Patent Court judgment of 26 September 2023 in case no. 36 W (pat) 1/22 – Granting of plant variety protection for the 'Burgred' variety

FOREWORD

Dear reader,

After a long wait, 1 June 2023 finally marked the green light for the Unitary Patent System and thus for a new era of jurisdiction by the instances of the Unified Patent Court (UPC). The UPC is the first international court in the world to have jurisdiction over all participating states with regard to questions of infringement and legal validity of both Unitary Patents (UPs) and non-opted-out European Patents (EPs).

We are therefore particularly delighted to be able to report to you – for the first time in this year's case law review – on the initial orders and decisions of the UPC at first and second instance, which after only a few months already enrich and expand the case law relating to German patent law.

In particular, the early phase of the UPC was eagerly awaited, as it will be decisive for the development of a standardised judicial practice of this new court. After just a few months, it is already apparent that the UPC does indeed fulfil the requirement of being an extremely fast ("rocket-docket") and highly qualified court. This makes the UPC an exciting forum for plaintiffs from all over the world who wish to obtain titles based on a UP or EP. As is well known, the UPC's rules of procedure bear the hallmarks of all participating member states and thus represent a novelty of a multinational procedural system that, due to its history, has roots not only in continental European and Roman law, but also in Anglo-American (common) law. It will be especially interesting to monitor the new opportunities and risks offered by the new court system for those standards and procedures of the UPC Rules of Procedure that were previously unfamiliar to our German procedural law.

Even though the number of cases pending before the UPC is steadily increasing, the German civil courts continue to be responsible for the vast majority of relevant decisions relating to German patent law. In matters of interpretation, patent infringement and questions of legal validity and in various questions of formal and substantive law, the case law of the German courts of lower instances and ultimately the Federal Court of Justice (BGH) continue to provide valuable guidance in practice. Interestingly, a progressing harmonisation of German case law and the practice of the (Enlarged) Board(s) of Appeal of the European Patent Office can be observed, which is to be welcomed in terms of legal certainty.

Finally, we are also able to present two topics in this edition of our case law review that remain within the sphere of the German courts, namely the cases concerning the Employee Invention Act, as well as one rare decision by the Federal Patent Court on plant variety protection.

Against this background, we are pleased to once again present a wide range of selected decisions on German patent law, commented on herein below by our experts at Eisenführ Speiser.

We wish you an enjoyable read!

Dipl. Biol. Dr. rer. nat. Christine Cirl, LL.M. on behalf of the authors

June 2024

EISENFÜHR SPEISER

Foreword 3



Bremen

Am Kaffee-Quartier 3 28217 Bremen Tel.+49 421 3635-0 Fax+49 421 3378788 mail@eisenfuhr.com



Munich

Gollierstraße 4
80339 Munich
Tel. +49 89 549075-0
Fax +49 89 55027555
mailmuc@eisenfuhr.com



Berlin

Stralauer Platz 34 10243 Berlin Tel.+49 30 841887-0 Fax+49 30 841887-77 mailbln@eisenfuhr.com



Hamburg

Johannes-Brahms-Platz 1 20355 Hamburg Tel.+49 40 309744-0 Fax+49 40 309744-44 mailham@eisenfuhr.com